# Stability of a set of processes with aftereffect* 

## T. K. SIRAZETDINOV

Axiomatic description of processes with aftereffect is introduced for systems with distributed parameters. Concepts of stability of a set or pipe of processes with aftereffect are defined, and the necessary and sufficient conditions of stability and instability, which are developments of results in $/ 1-3 /$, are obtained.
In the application of the method of Liapunov functions to the analysis of stability of solutions of differential equations not all properties of solutions are used. Hence it is of interest to separate only those general properties of motions or solutions of differential equations that are used in proving theorems, in constructing abstract axiomatic processes, and obtaining stability conditions for the latter.

The problem of investigation of stability or other properties of motion reduces to the test of existence of Liapunov functions that satisfy the conditions of respective theorems and of the fulfillment of a given system of axioms which include the fundamental properties of solutions of the Cauchy problem of a wide class of differential equations and many other processes.

The axiomatic description of processes was also considered in $/ 4-6 /$ and other works.

1. Let $\left(\alpha_{0}, T\right)$ be an interval of the real axis where $\alpha_{0} \leqslant 0$ and $T>0$. Let us consider the set $\Phi_{0}$ of elements $\varphi_{0}$. If to every specific time $t$ in the interval $\left(t_{0}, t_{1}\right] \subseteq\left(\alpha_{0}, T\right)$ corresponds in $\Phi_{0}$ a particular element $\varphi_{0}=\varphi_{0}\left(t_{0}, t_{1} ; t\right)$, we shall assume that the initial curve $\varphi_{0}=\varphi_{0}\left(t_{0}, t_{1} ; t\right)$ is specified in the interval $\left(t_{0}, t_{1}\right]$. It is significant that $\varphi_{0}$ depends not only on $t$ but, also, on the interval $\left(t_{0}, t_{1}\right]$. For instance, when $\Phi_{0}$ is a set of numbers, $\varphi_{0}$ assumes numerical values and the curve defined by formula $\varphi=t^{2}\left(t_{1}-t_{0}\right)$ depends on the selection of the interval $\left(t_{0}, t_{1}\right)$. For each pair of $t_{0}, t_{1}$ there exists in this case a specific dependence of $\varphi_{0}$ on time $t$. Function $\varphi=t^{2}$ which is independent of the inteival $\left(t_{0}, t_{1}\right)$ is also a curve in the considered here sense.

From the multiplicity of initial curves we separate the class of curves called initial processes.

Axioms of initial processes. 1.1. Any initial process determinate in the interval $\left(t_{0}, t_{1}\right] \subset\left(\alpha_{0}, T\right)$ is the initial process in any interval $\left(t_{0}{ }^{\prime}, t_{1}{ }^{\prime}\right] \subset\left(t_{0}, t_{1}\right]$.
1.2. If two initial processes $\varphi_{0}\left(t_{0}, t_{1} ; t\right)$ and $\varphi_{0}\left(t_{1}, t_{2}, t\right)$, where $\alpha_{0} \leqslant t_{0} \leqslant t_{1} \leqslant t_{2} \leqslant T$, are specified, then the composite initial process $\varphi_{0}\left(t_{0}, t_{2} ; t\right)$, consisting at $t \in\left(t_{0}, t_{1}\right]$ of elements of the first, and at $t \in\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right]$ of those of the second initial process, is also an initial process.
1.3. At least one initial process determinate throughout the interval ( $\alpha_{0}, T$ ) exists.

We shall call $\varphi_{0} \in \Phi_{0} \quad$ the initial state and the three above axioms, respectively, the contraction, articulation, and existence axioms.

Axioms 1.1 and 1.3 imply that an initial process exists in any interval $\left(t_{0}, t_{1}\right] \subset\left(t_{0}, T\right]$. According to axiom 1.2 we obtain the initial process by combining initial processes of adjoining time intervals.
2. Let $\Phi$ be a set of elements $\varphi$. If to each time interval $t \in\left[t_{0}, t_{1}\right) \subset(0, T)$ and initial process $\varphi_{0}=\varphi_{0}\left(\alpha, t_{0} ; t^{\prime}\right)$ determinate in the interval $\left(\alpha, t_{0}\right] \subset\left(\alpha_{0}, t_{0}\right)$ and $t^{\prime} \in\left(\alpha, t_{0}\right)$, a specific point $\varphi=\varphi\left(\varphi_{0}\left(\alpha, t_{0} ; t^{\prime}\right), t_{0}, t_{1} ; t\right)$ corresponds in $\Phi$, a curve with aftereffect is specified in the set $\Phi$. Thus a curve with aftereffect satisfies the initial condition, i.e. the axiom of initial data.

Here the element $\varphi \in \Phi$ depends at the instant of time $t$ on the initial process $\varphi_{0}=$ $\varphi_{0}\left(\alpha, t_{0} ; t^{\prime}\right)$ specified in the interval $\left(\alpha_{0}, t_{0}\right] \subset\left(a_{0}, T\right]$ and on the interval $\left[t_{0}, t_{1}\right)$. The initial process $\varphi_{0}=\varphi_{0}\left(\alpha, t_{0} ; t^{\prime}\right)$ is also called the initial condition.

If a curve with aftereffect begins to develop in the interval $\left[t_{0}, t_{1}\right) \subset\left(\alpha_{0}, T\right)$, at instant of time $t_{0}$, its detemination requires the knowledge of the initial process or the initial condition in the interval ( $\alpha_{0}, t_{0}$ ]. If, however, a curve with aftereffect is considered in the interval $\left[t_{0}{ }^{\prime}, t_{1}\right) \subset\left(\alpha_{0}, T\right)$, where $t_{0}<t_{0}{ }^{\prime}$, it is necessary to know the initial state curve in the interval $\left(\alpha^{\prime}, t_{0}{ }^{\prime}\right) \subset\left(\alpha_{0}, t_{0}{ }^{\prime}\right]$.

[^0]By specifying various initial processes in the interval ( $\alpha^{\prime}, t_{0}$ ) , we generally obtain different curves with aftereffect in the interval ( $t_{0}{ }^{\circ}, t_{1}$ ]. If, however, the initial process $\varphi_{0}$ ( $\alpha^{\prime}$, $\left.t_{0}{ }^{\prime} ; t\right)$ coincides with some curve $\Phi^{\prime}$ with aftereffect in the interval $\left[t_{0}, t_{0}{ }^{\prime}\right) \subset\left(\alpha_{0}, T\right)$, where $t_{0}<t_{0}$, the curve that has such initial process in the interval $\left[t_{0}{ }^{\prime}, t_{1}\right]$ is considered as the continuation of curve $\varphi^{\prime}$ with aftereffect in the interval $\left[t_{0}{ }^{\prime}, t\right.$ ).

From among all possible curves with aftereffect we separate a class of processes with aftereffect.

Axioms of processes with aftereffect. 2.1. Any process with aftereffect determinate in the interval $\left(t_{0,} t_{3}\right) \subset(0, T)$ is also a process with aftereffect when considered in any interval $\left[t_{0}^{\prime}, t_{1}\right) \subset\left(t_{0}, t_{1}\right)_{k}$ i.e. when $\varphi\left(\varphi_{0}\left(\alpha_{0}, t_{0} t^{\prime}\right), t_{0,} t_{3} ; t\right)$ is a process with aftereffect, $\varphi\left(\varphi_{0}^{\prime}\left(\alpha, t_{0}{ }^{\prime} ; t^{\prime}\right), t_{0}{ }^{\prime}, t_{1}^{\prime} ; t\right)$ is also a process with aftereffect with initial condition

$$
t^{\prime} \in\left[a, t_{0}\right), \varphi_{0}^{\prime}\left(\alpha, t_{0}^{\prime} ; t^{\prime}\right)=\varphi_{0}\left(\alpha, t_{0} ; t^{\prime}\right), \quad t^{\prime} \in\left[t_{0}, t_{0}^{\prime}\right]_{1} \varphi_{0}^{\prime}\left(\alpha, t_{0}^{\prime} ; t^{\prime}\right)=\varphi\left(\varphi_{0}\left(\alpha, t_{0} ; t^{\prime \prime}\right), t_{0}, t_{1} ; t^{\prime}\right)
$$

2.2. If two processes

$$
\varphi\left(\varphi_{0}\left(\alpha_{9} ; t_{6} ; t^{\prime}\right), t_{6} t_{1} ; t\right), \mp\left(\varphi_{0}\left(\alpha_{1}, t_{1} ; t^{\prime}\right), t_{1} ; t_{5} ; t\right)
$$

with aftereffect determinate, respectively, in the intervals $\left[t_{0}, t_{1}\right]$ and $\left[t_{1}, t_{2}\right]$ are such that $t \in\left(\alpha_{1}, t_{0}\right\rfloor, \alpha_{0} \leqslant \alpha_{1} \leqslant t_{0}, \varphi_{0}\left(\alpha_{1}, t_{1} ; t\right)=\varphi_{0}\left(\alpha_{0}, t_{0} ; t\right), t \in\left\lfloor t_{0}, t_{1}\right\rfloor, \varphi_{0}\left(\alpha_{1}, t_{1} ; t\right)=\varphi\left(\varphi_{0}\left(\alpha_{0}, t_{0} ; t^{\prime}\right), t_{0}, t_{1} ; t\right)$ the compomite curve consisting at $t<t_{1}$ of elements of one process with aftereffiect and at $i \geqslant t_{1}$ of elements of another process is also a proceas with aftereffect. Such processes with aftereffect will be called composite.
2.3. There exists at least one process with aftereffect determinate throughout the interval [ $t_{p}, T$ ) with initial curve determinate in the intervai ( $\alpha_{0}, t_{0}$ ).

A process with aftereffect satisfies the axioms of initial data, contraction, articulation, and existence in the time interval. $\left[t_{0}, T\right)$, and the initial condition in $\left(\alpha, t_{0}\right)$. Below, we also consider processes with aftereffect $\varphi=\varphi\left(\varphi_{0}\left(\alpha_{0}, t_{0} ; t^{\prime}\right), t_{0}, t_{1} ; t\right)$ not only in the interval $\left[t_{0}, t_{1}\right)$ but, also, in the interval $\left[\alpha, t_{1}\right]$ and consider such processes to be a composite process with aftereffect consisting of processes

We shall write for brevity $\varphi=\varphi\left(\varphi_{0}, \alpha, t_{0}, t_{1} ; t\right.$, where ( $\left.\alpha, t_{0}\right]$ indicates the initial distri* bution interval, and $\left[t_{0}, t_{1}\right)$ the interval of the process determination. We shall also use the term "process" for process with aftereffect, with $\varphi \in \Phi$ called the state of the process.
3. The measure $\rho=\rho[\varphi, t]$ of the state of a process with aftereffect at instant of time $t \in\left(t_{0}, T\right)$ is a real number which is brought in correspondence to each pair ( $\phi, t$ ) of any prow cess with aftereffect.

The real number that is brought in correspondence to each curve of initial processes $\varphi_{0}(\alpha$,
$\left.t_{0} ; t\right)$, determinate in the interval $\left(\alpha, t_{0}\right]$ is called the measure $\rho_{0}=\rho_{0}\left[\rho ; \alpha, t_{\theta}\right]$ of initial processes. For instance, $\rho_{0}\left[\varphi ; \alpha, t_{0}\right]=\sup _{t \in(\alpha, t]} \rho[\varphi, t]_{\text {, }}$ where $\rho=\rho[\varphi, t]$ is the measure of the state or processes at any arbitrary instant of time $t \in\left(\alpha, t_{0}\right)$.

If for a given number $s>0$ there exists another number $\delta=\delta$ ( 8 ) $>0$ such that the inequality $\rho<e$ is satisfied for $p_{0}<\delta(8)$ and every $t \in\left(a, t_{0}\right)$, measure $\rho$ is called upper semicontinuous with respect to measure $\rho_{0}$. It is assumed below that measure $p$ is upper semicontinuous with respect to $\rho_{0}$. The measures $\rho$ and $\rho_{0}$ may assume both, positive and negative numerical values, while the numbers $e$ and $\delta(e)$ can oniy be positilve.
4. It is assumed that there exists at least one process with aftereffect $\varphi_{*} \varphi_{m} \varphi_{*}\left(\varphi_{0 *}(\alpha\right.$,
$\left.\left.t_{0} ; t\right), t_{0}, T ; t\right)$. which satisfies the inequalities $\rho_{0} \leqslant 0$ and $\rho \leqslant 0$.
The set

$$
\Gamma_{\delta}=\left\{\varphi_{,} ; p_{\theta} \leqslant 0, t \in\left(\alpha, t_{s} \mid ; \rho \leqslant 0, t \in \mathbb{L} t_{\theta} T\right)\right\rangle
$$

of processes with aftereffect will be called the set or pipe of unperturbed processes with aftereftect.

Let $r$ be a positve number. We call the set of initial processes that satisfy the inequal* ities $0<\rho_{0}<r$ the inftial perturbations, and the processes issuing from that region will be called perturbed processes with aftereffect.

The unperturbed set $\Gamma_{n}$ of processes with aftereffect is called stable with respect to measures $p$ and $p_{0}$ in the interval $\left[t_{0} T\right)_{4}$ if for any positive number $\varepsilon$ it is possible to in dicate a positive number $\delta=\delta(\varepsilon)$ such that for any perturbed process with aftereffect $\varphi=$ $\varphi\left(\varphi_{0}, \alpha, t_{0}, T ; t\right)$ which in the initial interval of time $\left(\alpha, t_{0}\right)$ satisfies the inequality $\rho_{0}<$ $\delta(\varepsilon)$ throughout the region of process determination, the condition $\left.\rho<\varepsilon, \forall i \in \mathbb{l} t_{0}, T\right)$ is satisfied. If the stability condition is not satisfied, the set $\Gamma_{0}$ is galled unstable.

When $T=\infty$ the unperturbed set $\Gamma_{0}$ of processes is called asymptotically stable with respect to the two measures $\rho$ and $\rho_{0}$, if it is stable with respect to these measures and provided that the condition $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \rho \leqslant 0$ is satisfied by any perturbed processes issuing from the small neighborhood of $\rho_{\theta} \in(0, r)$.

Note that along specific processes the functionals $\rho$ and $\rho_{0}$ are assumed to be continuous functions of time $t$.
5. We introduce the functional $v=v[\varphi, t]$ which at every instant of time $t \in\left[t_{0}, T\right)$ associates to the process state $\varphi$ the real number $v$ and the functional $v_{a}=v[\varphi ; \alpha, t]$ which for the composite pracess $\varphi=\Psi^{\prime}\left(\varphi_{0}\left(\alpha, t_{0} ; t^{\prime \prime}\right), t_{0}, T ; t^{\prime}\right)$ associates in the interval $[\alpha, t) \subset\left(\alpha_{0}, T\right)$ at instant of time $t \in\left[t_{0}, T\right)$ the real number $\vartheta_{\alpha}$. For example

$$
v[\varphi, t]=\int_{\tau} \varphi^{2}(x, t) d x, \quad v_{a}=v[\varphi ; a, t]=\sup _{t \in[a, t]} \vartheta[\varphi, s]
$$

where $\varphi=\varphi(x, t)$ is scalar function of $x \in \tau$ and $t \in\left[\alpha_{0, T} T\right.$, and $\tau$ is an interval of the real axis.

It is assumed that in unperturbed processes $v=v[\varphi, t] \leqslant 0$ and $v_{\alpha}=v[\varphi ; \alpha, t] \leqslant 0$ when $\rho_{0} \leqslant 0, t=t_{0}, \rho \leqslant 0, t \in\left[t_{0}, T\right)$, and $v[\varphi, t]=0$ when $\rho[\varphi, t]=0$.

The functional $v=v[\varphi, t]$ is called uniformly upper semi-continuous with respect to measure $\rho_{0}$ in the interval $\left(\alpha, t_{0}\right]$ if for any number $\varepsilon>0$ can be found a number $\delta=\delta(\varepsilon)>0$ dependent only on $\varepsilon$ and such that the estimate $v<\varepsilon$ is satisfied for $\rho_{0}<\delta(\varepsilon)$ and all $t \in\left(\alpha, t_{0}\right]$.

The functional $v_{\alpha}=v[\varphi ; \alpha, t]$ is called upper semi-continuous with respect to measure $\rho_{0}=\rho_{0}[\varphi ; \alpha, t]$ at $t=t_{0}$ if for any arbitrarily small number $\varepsilon>0$ can be found a positive number $\delta=\delta(\varepsilon)$ such that the estimate $v_{a}<\varepsilon$ is satisfied under condition that $\rho_{0}<\delta(e), t$ $=t_{0}$. If this estimate is satisfied for all $t \in\left[t_{0}, T\right.$, the functional $v_{\alpha}$ is called uniformly upper semi-continuous with respect to $\rho_{\alpha}$ in the interval $\left[t_{0}, T\right)$.

The limit of the ratio

$$
\lim _{\Delta t \rightarrow+0} \frac{v\left[\varphi_{t+\Delta t} ; \dot{ } ;(t+\Delta t), t+\Delta t\right]-v\left[\varphi_{t} ; a(t), t\right]}{\Delta t}=\frac{d v}{d t}
$$

is called the derivative of functional $v_{\alpha}$ along the process, where $\varphi_{t}=\varphi\left(\varphi_{0}, \alpha, t_{0}, T ; t\right)$. The functional $v=v[\varphi, t]$ is called positive (negative) definite with respect to $\rho=$ $\rho \mid \varphi, t]$ if $v[\varphi, t] \geqslant 0(v[\varphi, t] \leqslant 0)$ when $\rho \geqslant 0$, and $v[\varphi, t]=0 \quad$ when $\rho=0, t \in\left[t_{0}, T\right)$ and if for any $\varepsilon>0$ there exists another number $\delta=\delta(\varepsilon)>0$ such that the inequality $v[\varphi, t] \geqslant$ $\delta(\varepsilon) \quad(v[\varphi, t] \leqslant-\delta(\varepsilon))$ is satisfied for $\rho[\varphi, t] \geqslant \varepsilon$ and all $t \in\left[t_{0}, T\right)$.

The functionals

$$
v=\int_{i}^{l} f(x) \varphi^{2}(x, t) d x, \quad \infty>c_{\eta} \geqslant f(x) \geqslant c_{1}>0, \quad v_{\alpha}=\int_{0}^{1} f(x) \varphi^{2}(x, t) d x+\int_{t=v}^{1} \int_{0}^{1} \varphi^{2}(x, t) d x d t
$$

where $\infty>c_{2} \geqslant f(x) \geqslant c_{1}>0$ and $\gamma=$ const $>0$ are positive definite with respect to measure

$$
\rho=\int_{0}^{l} \varphi^{2}(x, t) d x
$$

Indeed, the estimates $v>c \rho, v_{a} \geqslant c \rho, c>0$ show that when $\rho>\varepsilon>0$ at $t^{\prime} \in[t-\gamma, t]$, there exists a number $\delta(\varepsilon)=c \varepsilon>0$ such that $v \geqslant \delta(\varepsilon)$ and $v_{\alpha} \geqslant \delta(\varepsilon)$. on the other hand, if $\rho=0$. then $\varphi^{2}(x, t)=0$, except the set of measure zero, and consequently $v=0$ and $v_{\alpha}=0$. In this case, the processes that satisfy the equality $\rho=0$ correspond to an unpertubed process.

In what follows $v=v[\varphi, t], v_{\alpha}=v[\varphi ; \alpha, t], \rho=\rho[\varphi, t], \quad \rho_{0}=\rho_{0}[\varphi ; \alpha, t]$ and their derivatives along processes are assumed to be continuous functions of time $t$ in the considered interval.

Note that the functional $v=v[\varphi, t]$ is to be considered as a particular case of functionals of the form $v_{\alpha}=v[\varphi ; \alpha, t]$ with $\alpha=t$, hence it is possible to assume that $v[\varphi, t]=$ $\left(v_{a}\right)_{a=t}=v[\varphi ; t, t]$.
6. We present below the theorems on stability and instability.

Theorem 1. For the set $\Gamma_{0}$ of unperturbed processes to be stable with respect to the two measures $\rho$ and $\rho_{0}$ it is necessary and sufficient that there exists functional $v_{a}=v[\varphi$; $\alpha, t]$ that is positive definite and upper semi-continuous with respect to measures $\rho$ and $\rho_{0}$, respectively, when $t \equiv\left(\alpha, t_{0}\right)$ and non-increasing along perturbed processes with aftereffect.

Theorem 2. For the unperturbed set $\Gamma_{0}$ to be asymptotically stable with respect to the
two measures $\rho$ and $\rho_{0}$ it is necessary and sufficient that there exists functional $v_{a}=v[\rho ;$ $\alpha, t]$ upper semi-continuous with respect to measure $\rho_{0}$ for $t \equiv\left(\alpha, t_{0}\right)$ positive definite with respect to measure $p$, nonincreasing along perturbed processes, and satisfying condition $\overline{\lim } v_{\alpha} \leqslant 0$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$.

Theorem 3. For the unperturbed set $\Gamma_{0}$ of processes with aftereffect to be unstable with respect to the two measures $\rho$ and $\rho_{0}$ it is necessary and sufficient that there exists a bounded functional $v_{\alpha}=v[\varphi ; \alpha, t]$ with positive definite derivative $d v_{\alpha} / d t$ in region $\left\{\varphi: v_{\alpha}\right.$ $>0\}$, and that there exists for any number $\delta_{\alpha}>0$ process $\varphi\left\{\varphi_{0}, z_{0}, t_{0}: 1\right\}$ issuing from region $\left\{\varphi: v_{x}>0\right\}$ and satisfying the condition $0<p_{0}<\delta_{0}$.

The formulation and proof of these theorems is similar to that of the theorems on stability and instability of process $\varphi=0$ with respect to the two measures in the absence of aftereffect $/ 4,7 /$. But the substance of processes and theorems considered here considerabily differs from that of processes investigated in $/ 4,7 /$.
7. Let us consider the theorems on stability of processes with aftereffect, using the derivatives $d v / d t$ and which generalize the results of $/ 1,3 /$. These theorems define the sufficient stability conditions.

Theorem 4. If for perturbed processes there exists functional $v=v[\varphi, t]$ which in the interval ( $\alpha, t_{0}$ ) is upper semi-continuous with respect to measure $p_{0}$ and positive definite with respect to measure $p$, and whose derivative dod dt determined at an arbitrary $t \in\left(t_{0}, T\right)$ along perturbed processes on set

$$
\left\{\varphi^{\prime}, t^{\prime}, \varphi \cdot t: v\left[\varphi^{\prime}, t^{\prime}\right] \leqslant v[\varphi, t], \alpha \leqslant t_{Q} \leqslant t^{\prime} \leqslant t \leqslant T\right\}
$$

is nonpositive for $\alpha \leqslant t_{0} \leqslant t^{\prime} \leqslant t \leqslant T$, the unperturbed set $\Gamma_{0}$ of processes is unstable with respect to measure $\rho$ and $\rho_{0}$.

Proof. Functional $u$ is positive definite with respect to measure $\rho$. Hence for a given number $\varepsilon>0$ there exists a number $\mu_{0}=\mu_{0}(\varepsilon)$ such that $v \geqslant \mu_{0}$ if $\rho \geqslant \varepsilon$ and vice versa, $\rho<\dot{\varepsilon}$ if $v<\mu_{0}$. Functional $v$, on the other hand, is upper semi-continuous with respect to measure
$p_{0}$ in the interval $\left\{a, i_{0}\right\}$. Hence there exists for number $\mu_{0}>0$ a number $\delta_{1}\left(\mu_{0}\right)>0$ such that $\varepsilon<\mu_{0}$ for $t \in\left(\alpha, t_{0}\right)$, when $p_{0}<\delta_{1}\left(\mu_{0}\right)$.

Moreover, the upper semi-continuity of $p$ with respect to $p_{a}$ in the interval ( $a$, tol imw plies that for a given $e>0$ there exists a $\delta_{2}=\delta_{9}(\varepsilon)>0$ such that $p<\varepsilon$ for all $t \in\left\{a, t_{0}\right]$ if $\rho_{0}<\delta_{2}(\varepsilon)$. We denote $\delta=\delta(\varepsilon)=\min \left\{\delta_{1}(\varepsilon), \delta_{n}(g)\right\}$, A number $\delta=\delta(\varepsilon)>0$ has, thus, been found for
$\varepsilon>0$, such that $v<\mu_{0}$ and $\rho<\varepsilon$ at all $t \in\left(\alpha, t_{0}\right]$ ifi $\rho_{0}<\delta(\varepsilon)$. By virtue of the assumed continuity of $v$ with respect to $t$ there exists some time interval ( 0 , $v$, where $:>t_{0}, v<\mu_{0}$, hence $\rho<\mathrm{e}$.

Let us prove that $p<\pi$ for any $t \equiv\left\{\begin{array}{l}0 . T\end{array}\right)$. Let us assume the existance of a process in Which the functional is differentiable with respect to time $;$ and of the instant of time $t=\tau$ at which $\rho>8$ and $v \geqslant \mu_{0}$, while up to that instant $v<\mu_{0}$ and dvidt>0 at $t=\tau$ in the small neighborhood $(x, r-\Delta t)$. $\Delta t>0$. The derivative dw/dt at the instant of time $t=r$ depends on the state of the process at $t \leqslant \tau$ in the set

$$
\left\{\varphi^{\prime}, t^{\prime}, \varphi, t: v\left[\varphi^{\prime}, t^{\prime}\right] \leqslant v[\varphi, t]=\mu_{3}, c \leqslant t^{\prime}<t=\tau<T\right\}
$$

According to the condition of the theorem the condition dods $\leqslant 0$ is satisfied everywhere in the set of such states. Hence $t<\mu_{0}$ and $p<\varepsilon$ at any $t \geqslant t_{0}$ if $p_{5}<\delta(\varepsilon)$ at $t=t_{0}$. The stability of set $f_{0}$ of processes with aftereffect with respect to measures $p$ and fa is provm ec.

When proving this theorem it was assumed that $T^{*}$ can be finite as well as infinite. Below, in the investigation of asymptotic stability we set $T=\infty$. Note that parameter a may depend on $t_{0}, ~ i . e, ~ \alpha=\alpha\left(t_{0}\right)$, but $\alpha\left(t_{0}\right) \leqslant t_{0}$. Duration of the interval of the aftereffect $\left\langle\alpha\left(t_{0}\right), t_{0}\right.$ I of processes considered in the interval $\left[t_{0}, \infty\right)$ depends on the initial instant $t_{0}$. The prevlously proved theorems and the theorem considered below are also valid on these assumptions.

Let us assume that the dexivative doldt along processes with aftereffect are determined on a set of states $\varphi$ of a process in some segment $[\beta, t]$. In analyzing its asymptotic stability we shall assume parameter $\beta$ to be a function of time, i.e. $\beta=\beta(t)$ and $\lim \beta(t)=\infty$ when $t \rightarrow \infty, \beta\left(t_{0}\right) \leqslant \beta(t) \leqslant t$. The case of $\beta(t)=t$ corresponds to the absence of argument lag. The derivative $d v / d t$ is thus, at instant a functional determinea in the set of states $\varphi$ on segment $[\beta(t), t]$ whose right- and left-hand ends indefinitely recede to the right from the coordinate origin.

Theorem 5. If there exists for perturbed processes $\left\{q: 0<\rho_{0}<r\right)$ functional $v=v[\varphi$, th upper semimcontinuous with respect to $\rho_{0}$ in interval $\left(\alpha\left(t_{0}\right), t_{0}\right)$ uniformiy upper semi-continum ous and positve definite with respect to measure $\rho$ for $t \geqslant t_{0}$ whose derivative dv/dt calculated for an arbitrary $t \in\left[t_{0}, \infty\right)$ along perturbed processes on the set $\{\varphi, t\}$, which satisfy
the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
v\left[\varphi^{\prime}, t^{\prime}\right] \leqslant f(v[\varphi, t]), t^{\prime} \in(\beta(t), t], t>t_{0}, \quad(v>0, f(v)>v) \tag{7.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

is negative definite with respect to measure $\rho$ for $t \geqslant t_{0}$, then the set $\Gamma_{0}$ of unperturbed processes with aftereffect is asymptotically stable with respect to measures $\rho$ and $\rho_{0}$.

Proof. If the theorem conditions are satisfied, then the conditions of the preceding theorem are satisfied. Hence the set $\Gamma_{0}$ of perturbed processes with aftereffect is stable with respect to the two measures $\rho$ and $\rho_{0}$, $i$.e. there exists for a given number $\varepsilon>0$ a number $\delta=\delta(\varepsilon)>0$ such that $\rho<\varepsilon$ for any $t \geqslant t_{0}$, if $p_{0}<\delta(\varepsilon)$ at $t=i_{0}$. It remains to prove the asymptotic stability of set $\Gamma_{0}$.

Let $\varepsilon>0$. We determine the number $\delta=\delta(\varepsilon)$ such that $\rho<\varepsilon$ for any $t \geqslant t_{0}$, if $\rho_{0}<\delta$ ( $\varepsilon$ ) at $t=L_{0}$. We shall consider only such processes for which $p_{0}<\delta(e)$ at $t=t_{0}$ and, consequently, $\rho<\varepsilon$ for any $t \geqslant t_{0}$. There exists then a number $\mu_{0}=\mu_{0}(\varepsilon)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
t \geqslant t_{0}, \quad v<\mu_{0} \tag{7.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The functional $v=v[p, t]$ is positive definite with respect to measure $p, i . e$. there exists for any number $\eta \in(0, \varepsilon)$ a number $\mu_{T}=\mu_{T}(\eta)>0$ such that $v \geqslant \mu_{T}$ when $p \geqslant \eta$. This implies that when $v<\mu_{T}$, then $\rho<\eta$.

Let us ascertain that when we have $v<\mu_{0}$ at $t=t_{0}$ the functional $v$ attains the value $v<\mu_{T}$ in the time interval $\left\{t_{0}, T\right]$. To prove this we shall show that there exists a finite time interval $\left[t_{0}, T\right]$ in which the functional decreases by not less than the remainder $\mu_{0}(\varepsilon)$ $\mu_{T}(\eta)$, no matter how small $\mu_{T}(\eta)>0$.

Let us assume that $\rho \geq \eta$ and, consequently, $\eta \geqslant \mu_{T}(\eta)$ at any $t \in\left(t_{0}, \infty\right)$, and show that this assumption is violated in the finite interval $\left[\iota_{0}, T\right]$.

If $v \geqslant \mu_{T}(\eta)>0$, then there exists a positive number $a=a\left[\mu_{T}(\eta)\right]=a(\eta)$ such that $f(v)-$ $v \geqslant a(\eta)>0$.

The derivative $d r / d t$ in the set of states $v\left[\varphi^{\prime}, t^{\prime}\right] \leqslant j\left(v[\varphi, t], t^{\prime} \in[\beta(t), t], t_{0} \leqslant t\right.$ is a negative definite functional, i.e. there exists for a given number $\eta>0$ another number $\delta_{0}=\delta_{0}(\eta)>0$ such that under condition $p \geqslant \eta$

$$
\begin{equation*}
d v / d t \leqslant-\delta_{0} \tag{7.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let at the instants of time $t$ and for $\beta=\beta(t)$ the functional $v[\varphi, l]$ be, respectively, equal $v_{t}$ and $v_{\beta}$. Condition (7.1) postulates the fulfillment of inequality $v_{\beta} \leqslant f\left(v_{t}\right)$. Since $v_{\beta}$ and $v^{\prime}$ are not know a priori and depend on the selection of functional $v$ and of processes $\varphi$, and are independent of the selection of function $f(v)$, it may happen that at the initial and some other instants within the interval $[\beta(t), t], v_{\beta}>f\left(v_{t}\right)$ and $r>f\left(v_{i}\right)$. This means that at the instant of time $t$ processes may come from the set of states that are outside the limits of the set $\left\{\varphi^{\prime}, t^{\prime}, \varphi, t: v \leqslant f\left(v_{t}\right), \beta(t) \leqslant t^{\prime} \leqslant t\right.$, i. e. from the set of states $v>f\left(v_{t}\right), \beta(t) \leqslant t^{\prime} \leqslant t$. The dependence of $d v / d t$ at instant of time $t$ only on the process states that satisfy condition (?.1) is not guaranteed.

Because of this we shall consider only processes for which the functional $v=v[\varphi, t]$ decreases by less than $a=f\left(v_{t}\right)-v_{t}$. When $v$ decreases from $v_{t_{0}}<\mu_{0}$ to $v_{t}<\mu_{t}$ within a finite time interval, the remainder $\left(\mu_{0}-\mu_{t}\right)$ proves to be greater than the remainder $\left(j\left(v_{t}\right)-v_{t}\right)$. When the variable $v$ decreases by more than the remainder $f\left(v_{t}\right)-v_{t}=a$, the estimate (7.2) is inapplicable. Because of this we divide the interval $\left[\mu_{r}, \mu_{0}\right]$ by $a=a(\eta)$ and introduce the integer
$N$ defined by the condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
N-1 \leqslant\left(\mu_{0}-\mu_{\mathbf{F}}\right) / a \leqslant N \tag{7.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

We shall show that there exist instants of time $t_{j}=t j\left(\eta, \delta, t_{0}\right), j=0,1, \ldots, N$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
v[\varphi, t]<\mu_{T}+(N-j) a \tag{7.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $t>t_{j}, j=0.1, \ldots, N$, and condition $r[\varphi, t]<\mu_{T}$ is consequently satisfied for $t \geqslant T=t_{N}$.
When $j=0$ inequality (7.5) is satisfied. Indeed, (7.4) implies that $\mu_{T}+a N \geqslant \mu_{0}$. Hence, when $v[q ; t] \geqslant \mu_{T}+a N$ at $t=0$, then $v[f, t] \geqslant \mu_{0}$ at $t \geqslant t_{0}$, which contradicts inequality (7.2), and inequality (7.5) is satisfied when $j=0$.

Let us assume that inequality (7.5) with subscripts $j=k$ is satisfied at $t \geqslant t_{k}$ and prove that it is also satisfied at all $j=k+1$.

It follows from the assumptions that $\beta\left(t_{0}\right) \leqslant \beta(t), \beta(t) \leqslant t, \lim \beta(t)=\infty \quad$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$ that for any given number $t_{k}>t_{0}$ and instant of time $t_{k}{ }^{*} \geqslant t_{k}$ such that $\beta(t) \geqslant t_{k}$ for $t \geqslant t_{k}{ }^{*}$ can be found. If $t^{\prime} \geqslant t_{k}$, then it follows from (7.5) that $r\left[\varphi^{\prime}, l^{\prime}\right] \leqslant \mu_{T}+a(N-k)$. We have to ascertain that the inequality $n\left[f^{\prime}, t^{\prime}\right] \leqslant \mu_{T}-a(N-k-1)$, is satisfied within a finite time interval. Let us assume that at the instant of time $t>t_{k}{ }^{*}$ the inequality $v\left[\psi_{,}, t_{k^{*}}{ }^{*}>\mu_{T}+a(N-k-1)\right.$ is also satisfied. Then $\beta(t) \geqslant t_{k}$ and the process with aftereffect that effects the derivative $d v / d t$ lies inside the polygon

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu_{T}+a(N-k-1)<v\left[p^{\prime}, t^{\prime}\right] \leqslant \mu_{T}+a(N-k), \beta(t) \leqslant t^{\prime} \leqslant t \tag{7.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consequently, $\quad d v / d t \leqslant-\delta_{0}(\eta)$. Assuming the worst case $(v)_{t_{k}}=\mu_{T}+a(z V-h)$ and integrating $d v / d t \leqslant-\delta_{0}(\eta)$ from $t=t_{h} *$ along the process with aftereffect, we obtain

$$
v[\varphi, t] \leqslant \mu_{T}+a(N-k)-\left(t-t_{k}^{*}\right) \delta_{0}(\eta)
$$

In the time interval $t_{t_{h}}=a(\eta) / \delta_{0}(\eta)$ the functional $v[\varphi, t]$ decreases not less than by a ( $\eta$, i.e. $\quad\left(t-t_{k}^{*}\right) \delta_{0}(\eta) \geqslant a(\eta)$ at $t=t_{k+1}$, where $t_{n+1}=t_{n}{ }^{*}+a(\eta) / \delta_{0}(\eta)$.

Thus the inequality

$$
v[\varphi, t] \leqslant \mu_{T}+a(N-k-1)
$$

is satisfied for $t \geqslant t_{k+1}$.
Its violation at some $t \geqslant t_{k+1}$ contradicts the negarive definiteness of the derivative $d v / d t$ in region (7.6). Thus, beginning from some instant of time $t=t_{h+1}$, inequality (7.5) with subscript $j=k+1$ is satisfied. Applying the method of complete induction, we find that inequality (7.5) is satisfied for any $i$, including $j=N$. Setting in (7.5) $j=N$ we obtain

$$
t \geqslant t_{N}=T . v[\varphi, \quad t]<\mu_{T}
$$

Hence the assumption that $v>\mu_{T}$ is satisfied at any $t \geqslant t_{0}$ is violated at finite $T=t_{N}$. When $t \geqslant t_{N}=T, \quad v<\mu_{T}$ is satisfied and, consequently $\rho<\eta$.

Thus within a finite time interval $\rho$ remains smaller than any arbitrarily small positive number $\eta$, i.e. $\operatorname{Im} \rho \leqslant 0$ as $t \rightarrow 0$. Q.E.D.
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